

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 14, 15, 19, 20, 24, 25, 29, and 30 remain pending in the present application. Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 14, 15, 19, 20, 24, 25, 29, and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,157,720 (“Yoshiura”) in view of United States Patent No. 5,930,398 (“Watney”) and further in view of United States Patent No. 6,505,299 (“Zeng”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

### ***Interview Summary***

Applicants’ undersigned representative, Mr. Eiferman, and Examiner Andrew Nalven participated in a telephonic interview on November 7, 2006, during which Mr. Eiferman presented the remarks below. Examiner Nalven stated that these remarks appeared to distinguish the present application from the cited references as necessary to overcome the rejections of record.

### ***Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103***

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 14, 15, 19, 20, 24, 25, 29, and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,157,720 (“Yoshiura”) in view of United States Patent No. 5,930,398 (“Watney”) and further in view of United States Patent No. 6,505,299 (“Zeng”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Independent claims 1, 9, 14, 19, 24 and 29 recite that a content key is ***supplied*** by a decryption or encryption element to a decompression or compression element. Yoshiura does not teach or suggest this feature. Rather, Yoshiura discloses that a Work Key 116 is stored in a separate memory location 111 that is accessed by both a decryption element and a decompression element (See Fig. 1). Thus, by requiring the key to be supplied from one element to another (rather than being stored in a separate memory location which may not be sufficiently secured) the system of the present invention provides greater security than the system of Yoshiura. Watney and Zeng similarly fail to teach or suggest this feature.

**DOCKET NO.:** 148565.1 / MSFT-0249  
**Application No.:** 09/892,367  
**Office Action Dated:** September 8, 2006

**PATENT**

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1, 9, 14, 19, 24 and 29 are patentable over the cited references. Applicants further submit that dependent claims 2, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 are patentable at least by reason of their dependency. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections are respectfully requested.

**DOCKET NO.:** 148565.1 / MSFT-0249  
**Application No.:** 09/892,367  
**Office Action Dated:** September 8, 2006

**PATENT**

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the above remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application.

Date: December 7, 2006

/Kenneth R. Eiferman/

Kenneth R. Eiferman

Registration No. 51,647

Woodcock Washburn LLP  
Cira Centre, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
2929 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891  
Telephone: (215) 568-3100  
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439